Research Note

Under 203-D of the constitution of Pakistan, the petitioners have filed S.P. No. I/P-1997,4/I 2005,SP. No. 1/I2007 and S.P. No. 4/I2007, challenging 3o2-(B) of PPC and 345 (2) of Cr.P.C for being repulginant to the injunctions of Islam. The petitioners have inter-alia, raised the following important issues -

- 1 Under the said law,Qatle Amad has been made compoundable According to the petitioner,Qatle Amad is not compoundable. The only punishment prescribed for Qatle Amad in Islam, is cleath penalty.
- 2. Under 302-B of PPC, death punishment h₀s been prescribed even in the absence of qualitative and high standard evicences furthermore,it has been made not compoundable
- 3: Under 245 (2)of Cr PC, it has been mandatury for all the legal heirs of the victim to agree on compromise According to the petitioner, it is not necessary to agree all the heirs of the victim on compromise, hence, this provision is repugnant to the injunctions of Islam
- '4:Tazir punishment should not reach to the standard of Hadd and Qisas According to them, it is not in line with Islamic injunctions to prescribe death punishment under Tazir

These issues will be discussed in the light of Is amic injunctions

(1)The issue of compoundability was raised before the Shariat Bench of Peshawar High Court in Gul Hassan case (PLD 1980 Peshawar page-80)and it was held that.- Section 345 of CrF C is not mere a law relating to procedure of Court but relates to substantive law because the punishment and compoundability are subject matter of substantive law. The Court pleased to declare Qatle Amad as Compoundable

When the Federal Shariat Court established in 1980,the petititioner Muhammad Raiaz again raised the issue of court poundability before this Court. One of the member of the bench Justice Karimullah Durani has discussed this issue in detail at pages 52,53,54 arid 55 of PLD 1980 FSC

When appeal preferred before the august Supreme Court of Pakistan against these two judgments, the Supreme Court clealt with these petitions in juxtaposition disposing of both the petitions by single judgment. The august Supreme Court declared the offence under Section 302-(a) as compoundable in the presence of Supreme Court judgment on the issue of Compoundability of Qatle Amad, it cannot be reopeded for discussion (PLD 1989 SC pages 651,655 and 668 para-5) Apart from this there is clear cut Quranic verse, the jurists and commentators has derieved therefrom the legality of compoundability in intentional murder المنافظة المن

(2)Whether it is mandatory for all the legal he rs of the victim to agree on compromise? The jurists have discussed this issue in detail In the following lines, we reproduce the views of some acclaimed jurists in this respect Abdurrehman al-Juzairi writes that:-

فقہاء کااس پراتفاق ہے کہ اگر کی مسلمان کو جو واجب القتل نہیں ہے، عداقتل کردیا جائے اور قاتل مکلف اور ذی عقل ہے اور مقتول کا باپ یا داد انہیں ہے اور مقتول کی اولا درینہ عاقل و بالغ موجود ہے اور اس نے قاضی کی عدالت میں حاضر ہو کر قصاص کا مطالبہ کیا تو حاکم پر واجب ہے کہ بلاتا ہر فوری طور پر حکم نافذ فرما دے، ہاں اگر مجرمہ بار دارعورت ہوتو اس حکم قصاص کے نفاذ میں وضع حمل ہونے اور بچے کو دود دھ بلانے کی مدت تک تا خیر کی جائے۔

This issue has been also discussed in detail in Faqh Umar Various incidents have been quoted wherein one or more of the legal heirs of the victim waived their right of Qisas or Diyat.

اورا گرمقتول کے اولیاء میں ہے ہیں نے قاتل کو معاف کر دیا تو قصاص ساقط ہوجائے گا-حضرت عمر ہے سامنے ایک مقدمہ پیش کیا گیا کہ ایک شخص نے کسی توقل کہ دیا تھا-اولیائے مقتول نے قاتل کو تی کا ارادہ کیا تو قاتل کی بیوی نے جومقتول کی بہن تھی ، کہا کہ میں نے اپنے شوہر کے جُرم میں سے اینا حصہ معاف کر دیا-حضرت عمر نے فرمایا کہ بیخص قبل سے آزاد ہوگیااوراسی طرح حضرت عمر کی خدمہ ن میں ایک شخص کا مقدمہ پیش کیا گیا جس نے کسی کو قبل کر دیا تھا اولیائے مقتول آئے

جب کہ اُن میں ہے بعض نے قاتل کومعاف لردیا تھا-حضرت عمرؓ نے حضرت ابن مسعودؓ ہے بوچھا کہ آپ کی کیارائے ہے-حضرت ابن مسعودؓ نے کہا کہ پیخص قتل ہے محفوظ ہو گمیا - اس پرحضرت عمرؓ نے حضرت ابن مسعودؓ کے شانے پر ہاتھ رکھ کرفر مایا کہ آپ کا سینہ علام م

علم سے پُر ہے۔

ای طرح ایک شخص آپ کی خدمت میں لایا گیا جسے عدا کسی کو قتل کیا تھا اور اولیائے مقتول میں ہے بعض نے قاتل کو معاف کردیا تھا۔ حضرت عمر نے حضرت عبد ہلد بن مسعود ہے پوچھا کہ آپ کیا کہتے ہیں۔ اُنہوں نے کہا کہ اے امیر المؤمنین فیصلہ کرنے کے آپ زیادہ حقد ار ہیں۔ بعد از الہ احضرت عبد اللہ بن مسعود نے کہا کہ اگر اولیاء میں سے کوئی بھی معاف کردیت وقصاص نہیں ہے۔ معاف کرنے والے کے حصہ کی دیت ساقط ہوجائے گی اور بقیہ اولیاء کو اُن کے حصہ کی دیت ساقط ہوجائے گی اور بقیہ اولیاء کو اُن کے حصہ کی دیت ساجی کے حضرت عمر نے فرمایا کہ یہی صبح کرائے ہے۔ تم نے وہی کہا جومیر بے دل میں تھا۔

ایک شخص نے اپنی بیوی کے پاس کی مردکود یکھا اور اپنی بیوی کوتل کر دیا - حضرت عمر سے سے مقدمہ پیش ہوا تو اُس عورت کے کسی بھائی نے ترس کھا کر اپنا حصابی معاف کر دیا - اسپر حضرت عمر نے باقی سب کوبھی دیت دیے جانے کا حکم دیا - ایک اور روایت میں ہے کہا کہ دو تہائی دیت قبول کر لو کہ اب قاتل روایت میں ہے کہا کہ دو تہائی دیت قبول کر لو کہ اب قاتل ہے تصاص کی کوئی شخبائش نہیں ہے - (ڈاکٹر ائر رواس قلعہ جی ، فقہ حضرت عمر مضحہ ۲۲)

In this respect Abdul Qadir Awdha writes that -

'وإدا تعدد الأولياء في قصاص استرك فعها أحدهم سقط القصاص عن القاتل لأن سقوط نصيب العافي سالعه و سقط نصيب الآخرين المرورة لأن القصاص لايتحرأ وه قصاص واحد فلا يتصور استيهاء نعصه دو سعص ويسقلب نصيب الآخرين مالا فيأ حدون حصتهم من الدية ولايأخدون الدية كاملة لأن لاللعافي نصيباً فيها فيأ حدون الناقي نعد حاسم نصيب العافي أما العافي فإنه يأخد نصيبه إذا عها على الدية ولايأحده إذا عها محانا—"(عبدالقادر عوده ، المتربع الجنائي الاسلامي ، حلد ٢، صفحه ١٢٢)

"If many heirs share the right to a single Qisas and one of them remits it, the killer will be exonerated from Qisas. The reason is that the annulment of the remitter's share to Qisas results in the annulment of other's shares as well, for qisas is an indivisible single penalty. It is not, therefore, possible to execute a portion of qisas, leaving the rest. Consequently the shares other heirs will be transmuted into material compensation, and they will receive their respective shares of the blood-many, and no the total blood-money for the remitters' share will have to be remitted there from, who would be

entitled to it if he remits qisas in lieu of diyat. He relinquishes his share by unqualified.

النقه الله by Wahba Zuhaili that لفقه الله في وادلته أ by Wahba Zuhaili that

"وأما تعدد الأولياء، فعفا أحدهم، سقط القصاص عن القاتل ، لأن القصاص لا يتحرأ ، وهوشنى واحد ، فلا يتصور استيفاء بعصه دون بعض وشقى للأحرين حصتهم من الدية ، بدليل ماروى عن حماعة من الصحابة ، وهم عمر وابن مستعود وابن عباس أبهم أوجوا في عقو بعض الأولياء الدين لم يعقوا بصيبهم من الدية ، ويأحد العافي بصيبه من الدية إذا عفا على الدية ، ولا يأحذ شيئاً إذا عفا محاباً -

لكن سقوط القصاص عدالمالكية يعفو أحد المستحقين مقيد بما ادا كان العافي مساوياً لدرحة السيون أواأعلى درحة، أواستحقاقاً ، فإن كان أبرل درحة أولم يساوالناقي في ألاستحقاق كاحوة لأمع إحوة الساقيس أواأعلى درحة، أواستحقاقاً ، فإن كان أبرل درحة أولم يساوالناقي في ألاستحقاق كاحوة لأمع إحوة الاس (۲۹۱،۲۹۰)

"If the number of legal heirs in Qisas is more then one and one of them waived off his right of Qisas, the killer shall not be executed by way of Qisas Becaise, Qisas is a sole indivisible right which cannot be divided into portion. It is impossible that some of them waive of their right of Qisas and get their share in blood money while some others execute the killer This view is based on the opinion of companions like Umar, Ibn Abbas and Ibn Masud, they accordingly held that those legal heirs who decline to waive off their rights of Qisas will get their share in blood money Those who waive of their right of Qisas will get their share in blood money if pardon is given on a condition of blood money and if they fore o their right of Qisas un-conditionally, they will get nothing in blood money

According to Imam Malik,it is a condition that the status of the legal heir who waive of his right of Qisas, should be higher or atleast at par with other legal heirs If his status is below or not equal to others,his Afwa(pardon) shall not become effective

In the light above discussion it is thus concluded that the impugned law is not repugnant to the injunctions of Islam

4 Whether Death punishment can be awarded by way of Tazir, where the evidences are not qualitative?

Under Islamic law, there are four cases where death punishment can be awarded in the light of commondments appeared in the Holy Quran and

Sunnah of the Holy Prophet. Three of them relates to Hudood and one of them relates to Qisas&diyat laws The first one is Zani Muhsin or Muhsina, who is not insane, if commits Zina, shall be killed by stoning provided that the guiltiness is proved in the way as provided by the Shariah Secondly, Whoever being an adult is guilty of Hiraba, in the course of which he commits murder also, he will be given death publishment by way of Hadd in the light of Quranic commondments

Thirdly, If a Muslim who is not insane becomes apostate, he will be awarded death punishment in the light of sayings of theholy. Prophet (pbuh)

lastly, the rebels who come out revolting against the rightful imam, shall be be killed if they refuse allegiance T lough the punishment for the crime of Baghawat ما عادية and apostasy have been prescribed in the holy Quran and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet but this law is not included in the list of Huddod laws enforced in Pakistan but there are certain provisions in PPC where the death punishment has been prescribed for similar crimes, that will be discussed subsequently.

In PPC, there are varities of crimes for which death punishment has been prescribed For example, under section 121 of PPC, death punishment has been prescribed for the crime of waging war against the state and Under section 132of PPC, death punishment has been prescribed for the crime of Mutiny and abetment in Mutiny. Likewise, E eath punishment shall be awarded to a person who commits the crimes of adapting a person under the age of 10 under (364-A of PPC), Kidnaping or ransom under (365-A PPC), Hijacking and Harbouring Hijacker under (402-C of PPC), Arm traficking (13-A of Arms amendment Ord 1996) Drug traficking under 10(2) B of Drug Act 1930 and 9(C) of Anti Narcotic substance Act 1997, Sabotaging Railway system under 127 of Railway amendment, Act 1995, Gang rape and Zina bil Jabr under Huqooq Niswan Act, Using dergatory remarks against the holy Prophet under 295-A of PPC All these are penal punishments prescribed keeping in view the quantum of the crime and its far reaching

effect on the society.

The purpose of Tazir punishment is to weed out the crime from the society and promote peace and tranquility amongst the members of Islamic State For this purpose, apartfrom Hudood and Qicas laws, the head of the State has been given powers to award a punishment for a crimes not covered by Hudood and Qisas laws, keeping in view the circumstances of the crime and criminals There are varieties of Tazir punishments ranging from the mere admonition to death punishment, warded keeping in view the circumstances of the crime and criminal The basic objective of this type of punishment is reformation of the criminal, and deter others from committing the crimes.It resembles the treatment of any patient suffering from any disease where normally treatment is started with light dose and low potency medicine and its effect is properly checked If the patient does not recover, the potency as well as the dose of the medicine is being gradually increased till the patient recovers. The human nature and mind varies There are peoples, for them, mere admonit on is sufficient to reform them, yet there are peoples who never reform and commit crimes time and again till you exterminate them. For such type of people, stringent punishment under Tazir have been prescribed by the jurists. The justification of such severe punishment is based on public interest (مصلحت عامه) If a respectable member of the society commits a crir e other then Huddod and Qisas, he will be treated differently as compare! to an individual who is habitual and commits a crime again and again. For such type of peoples, mere appearance before the SHO or admonition is sufficient to reform or deter them from committing crime in this respect the following tradition of القيلوا دوى الهيئات عشراتهم -.the holy Prophet is reported to have been said tha Pardon the wrong done by the nobles of the society except the crime of Hudood and Qisas (۱۳ ص ۲۳ ص ۱۳ اسلام ح۲ ص

The jurists of Islam are of the view that a person spreading corruption on earth (فسياد فسي الارص) can be awarded death punishment by way of

Tazir The jurists have not confined Fasad fil Ard to specific crimes but it encompasses all types of sins even comparatively minor crimes like jealousy, cheating, bribery, misappropriation, corruption etc, which normally effect the peace and tranquility of the society in the following lines, the view point of different commentators, jurists, scholars and writers are reproduced:

While deciding Shariat Petition No 1/Lof 1999, wherein death punishment prescribed under 9-(C) of the Anti Narcotic Substance Act had been challenged, the Federal Shariat Court, observed that. "Fasad fil Ard is very comprehensive word and includes any crime that, in the opinion of the legislative body of the Islamic State, upset social situature of the society and causes disruption.commotion, moral degradation, corruption and indiscipline in its rank and file The jurists have discussed this issue with specific "اسما حراء ؤا الدين يحاربون الله و رسوله و - reference to the following Quranic verses يسمعون في الارص فسادًا أن يق لموا أويصلوا أوتقطع أيديهم وارحلهم من حلاف أوينفوا من الارص " (The only reward of those who make war upon Allah and His messenger and strive aftre corruption in the land will be that they will be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet on alternate sides cut off, or will be "من قتل نفساً - expelled out of the land)(5 33)The second quranic /erse is that Whosoc ver killeth a human being) بعير نفس او فسادٍ في الآر صِ فكانما قتل الناس حميعاً " for other than manslaughter or corruption in the earth, it shall be as if he had killed all mankind)(5 32)

Regarding death punishment for creating mischief on earth the view point of some acclaimed commentators of the Holy Quran is reproduced hereinbelow -

Maulana Shabir Ahmed usmani while elaborating the Quranic verse No 5 33, writes that.-"By mischief-mongers or corruptors most of the Commentators have meant dacoits and highwaymen. But if the general sense of the word is taken the meaning becomes wider. The Traditions, which are mentioned about the cause of revelation of this verse, also demand a generalization. Waging war against God and against His

Tazir The jurists have not confined Fasad fil Ard to specific crimes but it encompasses all types of sins even comparatively minor crimes like jealousy, cheating, bribery, misappropriation, corruption etc, which normally effect the peace and tranquility of the society in the following lines, the view point of different commentators, jurists, scholars and writers are reproduced.

While deciding Shariat Petition No 1/Lof 1999, wherein death punishment prescribed under 9-(C) of the Anti Narcotic Substance Act had been challenged, the Federal Shariat Court, observed that "Fasad fil Ard is very comprehensive word and includes any crime that, in the opinion of the legislative body of the Islamic State, upset social structure of the society and causes disruption commotion, moral degradation, corruption and indiscipline in its rank and file The jurists have discussed this issue with specific "السما حراء ؤا البدين يحاربون الله و رسوله و - reference to the following Quranic verses يسمعون في الارص فسادًا أن يق لموا أويصلوا أوتقطع ايديهم وارحلهم من حلاف أوينفوا من الارص " (The only reward of those who make war upon Allah and His messenger and strive aftre corruption in the land will be that they will be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet on alternate sides cut off, or will be "من قتل نفساً - expelled out of the land)(5:33)The second quranic ,/erse is that Whosoc ver killeth a human being) بعير نفسٍ او فسادٍ في الآرضِ فكانما قتل الناس جميعاً " for other than manslaughter or corruption in the earth, it shall be as if he had killed all mankind)(5 32)

Regarding death punishment for creating mischief on earth the view point of some acclaimed commentators of the Holy Quran is reproduced hereinbelow -

Maulana Shabir Ahmed usmani while elaborating the Quranic verse No 5 33, writes that.-"By mischief-mongers or corruptors most of the Commentators have meant dacoits and highwaymen But if the general sense of the word is taken the meaning becomes wider. The Traditions, which are mentioned about the cause of revelation of this verse, also demand a generalization. Waging war against God and against His

Tazir The jurists have not confined Fasad fill Ard to specific crimes but it encompasses all types of sins even comparatively minor crimes like jealousy, cheating, bribery, misappropriation, corruption etc, which normally effect the peace and tranquility of the society In the following lines, the view point of different commentators, jurists, scholars and writers are reproduced:

While deciding Shariat Petition No 1/Lof 1999, wherein death punishment prescribed under 9-(C) of the Anti Narcotic Substance Act had been challenged, the Federal Shariat Court, observed that. "Fasad fil Ard is very comprehensive word and includes any crime that, in the opinion of the legislative body of the Islamic State, upset social si ucture of the society and causes disruption commotion, moral degradation, corruption and indiscipline in its rank and file The jurists have discussed this issue with specific "السما حراء ؤا الدين يحاربون الله و رسوله و -.reference to the following Quranic verses يسمعون في الارص فسادًا ان يق لموا اويصلوا اوتقطع ايديهم وارحلهم من حلاف اوينفوا من الارض " (The only reward of those who make war upon Allah and His messenger and strive aftre corruption in the land will be that they will be killed or crucified, or have their hands and feet on alternate sides cut off, or will be "من قتل نفساً - expelled out of the land)(5 33)The second quranic ˌ/erse is that Whosoe ver killeth a human being) بعير نفسٍ او فسادٍ في الآرضِ فكانما قتل الناس جميعاً " for other than manslaughter or corruption in the earth, it shall be as if he had killed all mankind)(5 32)

Regarding death punishment for creating mischief on earth the view point of some acclaimed commentators of the Holy Quran is reproduced hereinbelow-

Maulana Shabir Ahmed usmani while elaborating the Quranic verse No 5 33, writes that.-"By mischief-mongers or corruptors most of the Commentators have meant dacoits and highwaymen But if the general sense of the word is taken the meaning becomes wider. The Traditions, which are mentioned about the cause of revelation of this verse, also demand a generalization. Waging war against God and against His

Messenger or spreading corruption and mischief on the Earth include the aggressions and invasions of the Non-Muslims, the mischief of apostasy, highway robbery, murder, criminal plottings, subversive propaganda. Every crime is liable to punishment as follows.

(i) Execution (ii) Crucification (iii) Cutting of right hand and left foot (iv) imprisonment somewhere else as held by the great Imam Abu Hanifa" (TafsirUsmani Vol-1 page 270).

A prominent commentator, Abu bakr Jassas writes that ومن قتل نفسا بعير كالم المعنى المتحق المناقل والمنافل المنافل والمنافل المنافل المنافل والمنافل والمنافل المنافل المنافل

In this respect Allama Ibn Jarir Tabari writ's that: "A person armed with weapon if created terror for the passerby, he head of the State is empowered to award death punishment to this person even if who has not committed murder nor robbery". (۱۳۸ حریوی ح ۲۳ ص ۱۳۸ کیار)

Imam Qurtubi while narrating the backgrour'd of reveleation of verse 5 33, writes that " were two tribes suffering from various diseases. When they came to the Holy Prophet and embraced Islam, the Holy Prophet prescribed medicine for them. As a result they recovered from the cronic disease They, after recovery, not only converted to other religion but mercilessly killed the shepherd of the holy Prophet by amputing his body parts The Holy Prophet ordered to react in the ame manner They were

killed in the same way and their bodies were exposed to heat of the sun They were known for spreading mischief in the land by committing theft and unjustly killing innocent people and creating terror in the area in this repect the verse 5 33 was revealed" (احكام القران لأعرطي ح٢ص١٩٥١)

written by عقونته المديئية في فقه الأسلامي written by 'لايشرط في المفسد في الارص عدد ولاحرية والاحسس ولاملة معينة.Egyptian scholar that والاسلاح يشهر امام الباس فالواح؛ ولوكان الشي اداما عرص مصالح المسلمين للحطر اوفعل كل مايمدرح No" "تحت مفهوم الافساد في الأرص كان----واجب السلطان احصاعة الى شرع الله سبحانه" specific conditions have been prescribed for the person spreading corruption in the land like freedom, number, sex, displaying arm or belonging to a specific nation or tribe A singal individual, even a female, if acted in a manner which are detrimental to the interest of the public good, or come the man in authority is empowered to, فسساد فسي الأرض punish him keeping in view the quantum of crime The writer has further لولي الارمر أن يصل بعقوبة الجرابيم المصرة بالصلحة العاعه كالرشوه والعش والتروير الي stated that القتل - لكن بعد أن تطيق عقوية العلم الدبيه على الجاني فأن عاد الى حنايته فهذا يعبي أنه محرم تأصل فيه الاحرام وطاست مسه بالحريمة يحتاج الامو الى موارته دقيقة اماالاتفاء على امثاله يعيشون في الارض -المساد واماتطهير الارص من فسادهم واراحة الناس حميعا -ولاجدال في ان حق الحماعة اولى بالرعايه ---- ١٠ التعرير بالقتل حائز عبد اكترالفقها - ولكن هل تنفد عقوبة القتل تعريراً في المرتشن ؟ البحواب كل المفسدين في الارض تفد فيهم هذه العقوبة اذالم يتحلوا عن فساد هم واصروا عليه وحسسا The head of the" "بالرشوه بسادا والداعون الى المدعة ومن يتكور الحرائم الحديه التي لاقتل فيها State is empowered to award death punishment in offences like bribery, cheating and other matters which are detrimental to public interest in doing so,he has firstly to apply light corporeal punishments like flogging or lashes on the offender If he does not reform, but continued to commit the crimes,it means that he is a criminal minded atid totally inclined toward crimes There is a need to opt between two choices, either to led him and his other accomplices to continue their act of corruption on the land or to stop them from spreading Fasad on the land and protect all the people from their evil effect There is no controversy amongst the prists that the right of the

people shall have precedence over others He further stated that According to majority of the jurists, death punishment by way of Tazir is permissible but the question arises whether death punishment by way of Tazir can be awarded to a person guilty of giving bribes? the answer is that "This punishment shall be awarded to all those spreading corruption on earth (معسدين في الأرض) if the society is not protected from the evil effects of their activities. In short, it is thus concluded that bribery, the person who call towards innovation, the person who commits crime under Hadd repeatedly, where no death punishment is prescribed, come within the purview of Fasad fil Ard and death punishment can be awarded for that crimes by way of Tazir" (rrq-rr2-rr2) when the punishment can be awarded for that crimes by way of

Those who oppose the capital punishmentby by way of Tazir,have placed reliance on the following two traditions. Narrated Abdullah that the Messanger of Allah said that, The blood of of a Muslim who confesses that There is no God but Allah and Muhammad is his Messanger, cannot be shed except in three cases: 1 Life for life in case of intentional murder without right, 2 A married person who commits illegal sexual intercourse and 3 A person who convert to other religion and becomes apostate."

The second tradition is that "من سلع حدا في عير حد فهو من المعتدي "Any one who awarded punishment to the extent of Hadd punishment, in cases of Non Hadd, he is amongst the agressors "The meaning of this Tradition is that The sentences awarded in cases of Tazir neither be equal nor exceed the Hadd punishment but it must be less then Hacd punishment

As far as the first tradition is concerned, where the death punishment is restricted to three cases One of them relates to Qisas while the other two come within the purview of Huddod Though this tradition is reported by Bukhari and the Muslim both, therefore, the authenticity of this tradition is not in question but what to say about the punishment prescribed by the Holy Quran for the crimes of Hiraba Aland Rebelion Regarding Hiraba, Allah Almighty says that "The punishment of those who wage war

against God and his apostle, and strive with might and main for mischief through the land is: execution, or crucification, or cutting off hands and feet fro opposite sides (5.33) The Quranic verse فالموادي الأحارى فقاتلوالتي الأحارى فقاتلوالتي الأحارى فقاتلوالتي الموادي الأحارى فقاتلوالتي الموادي الموادي الموادي الموادي الموادي الموادي فقاتلوالتي الموادي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوا فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوا فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوا فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوا فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوا فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالتي فقاتلوالت

"au lun au un au lun and le said that le sou found any one committing sodomy, kill both of them, the person comitting this crime and with whom it is committee."

It is also reported by Muavia bin Abu Sufiar from the Holy Prophet to have been said that: من شرب المجمر فاحلدوه ثم عاد في الرابعة فاقبلوه "Any one who drinks wine ,award him a punishment of lashes,an if he repeated this crime fourth times,then award him death punishment" .

Reported by Abdullah bin Abbas from the Holy Prophet to have been said that "Kill the person who committed sexual intercourse (Incest) with the female of prohibited degree.

rit is also appeared in the tradition that In Gircumstances where one cannot get rid of a mischievous activities of a person,he will be executed—
"عن عرفية الاستجعى رضى الله عنه قال سمعت رسول الله نقول من اتاكم وامركم حميع على رحل
"It has been reported on the authority of Arfaja who said I have heard the messanger of Allah saying that Different make their appearence in the near future. Anyone who tries to disrupt the affairs of this Ummah while they are funited, you should strike him with the sword whatever he be "The writer has also mentioned that the Muslim spy, incorrigible and habitual offender, those who invite towards innovation, those who committ sodomy and Apostate shall be killed by way of Tazir. (Al Siyast-ul-Jinaia fi Shariatul Islamia pages 79,81,158 and 160)

A prominent Egyptian scholar writes that "One of the principles of the Shariah is that Tazir is designed to reform the effender and, therefore, the appropriate Tazir is that which poses no threat to the life of the offender Hence Tazir punishment should not be mortal That is why death penalty and amputation of limb as Tazir is totally disallowed But most of the jurists allowing exception to this general rule, maintain that death penalty as Tazir is warrantable provided that public good requires it or that the life of the offender poses the danger of perpetration of evil caused by him which can only be eradicated by his execution, as for example the execution of spy or one calling upon the Muslims to accept innovation in their faith or a dangerous habitual (Section 480 Tashriul Jinai Vol -1)

In this respect Allama Ibn Abideen Shami writes that "An offences, where no death punishment is prescribed for the the offender, can be awarded death punishment when the offender committs these crimes repeatedly and it is in the interest of public good. Likewise, the number of Hudood may also be increased if the situation is warranted. The person committing theft repeatedly or kills the citizens by strangulation or becomes apostate and or being a muslim, acts as a spy, all of them shall be killed to protect the people from their evil effect and to proriote peace and tranquility

in the society.(Ibn Abideen shami Vol-3 page 194)

Maulana Salamat Ali, the author of Islami Faujdari 'Qanoon, writes that 'نتخز برقتل در سے لگانے فید کرنے گھر سے لگا لئے اور مجرم کے مال کی قرقی سے دی جاسکتی ہے۔۔۔۔۔ام میلیے جائز ہے کا وہ عادی چور، جادوگر، خناق، موذی ادمی اور جوشخص نابر دئی ظلم کر سے یا ذاکو ہو یا ظالم اور یا ان کے مددگارون میں سے ہواور یا زمیں پر فساد بھلانے والا ہوتو وہ اس توقل کی سرادیگا (اسل می فوجداری قانون (مولانا سلامت علی ۔ص ۱۹۲۱–۱۹۲۹)

It is pertinent to mention here that the issue of capital punishment by way of Tazir came before this Court apartfrom shariat petition No 1/L of 1999, as referred earlier, in Gul Hassan case, it was held that. "There is not going to be any violation of injunctions of Islam if law provides Tazir(Imprisonment or death) in the case of recipivist accused of theft or murder other then accidental even if there is a parden by the heirs of the deceased on payment of blood money (PLD 1980-Pesh-20)

In Muhammad Riaz VS State, the Federal Shariat Court has reproduced the view point of Shabir Ahmed Usmani, who while elaborating the Quranic verse regarding Hiraba المنافعة المربق بعاريون الله (5 33) writes that: The words of the erse are applicable inter alia to situation where the action of the individual or a group amount to cleating corruption in the land (افساد في الأرب ص) because spreading corruption in the land itself amount to waging war against Allah. The Court further observed that Precedents are, therefore not lacking to show that where there is element of corruption, the matter is governed by the principles of right of Allah and it is open to the court to sentence a culprit by way of Tazir to death or imprisonment (PLD 1980 FSC 28)

The jurists of Islam are of the view that a cleath punishment can be awarded by way of Tazir to a person who spread corruption on earth في الارص) According to them, there is no legal justification to limit the scope and applicability of the verse 5--33 to decoity and highway robbery Theprominent commentators of the Holy Quran while elaborating the Quranic verses ويسعون and the verse ومن شل مفسا بعير نفس أو فسادا في الأرص فسادا في الأرص فسادا

Hudood and Qisas but being within the domain of Fasad fil Ard,death punishment can rightly be awarded to the offencer on the basis of it. القران للجصاص ح٢ ص ٥٠٣ (القران للجصاص ح٢ ص ٥٠٣ ص

The issue of worth consideration is that when and on what circumstances and for what offences Imam or the man in authority can prescribe or award death punishment by way of Tazir?

Death punishment by way of Tazir Tazir punishment is designed to reform the offender Therefore, generall, capital punishment is not allowed, however. Most of the jurist maintain that death per alty can be awarded as a Tazir punishment when it is warranted. Four situations have been mentioned by the jurist of Islam

Firstly: Muslim spy shall be awarded death penalty as a Tazir punishment when it becomes necessary for public good. The opinion is supported by Imam Malik and Imam Ahmed bin Hunbal.

Secondly The person callin upon the Muslim to accept innovation in their faith. (الداعي الي البدعة)

Umar bin Abdul Aziz had awarded death penalty to one Ghilanul Qudri who used to call upon Muslim to accept inniviation in their faith. This viewpoint is supported by Imam Malik, Imam Sha i and Imam Ahmed bin Hanbal

Thirdly (مس لا يسرول فساده الا سالقتل) When It becomes absolutely essential and the offender is so incorrigible that all endea or to reform him proves futile and it become also necessary to exterminate nim in order to safeguard the society against the evil effects of his act. Imam Abu Hanifa appose the view point (الطرق الحكمية عام)

Fourthly. A jurist was asked that is it perinissible to kill a person if one finds him with his wife in abjectionable condition? The Jurist replied that if it is possible to desist the rapist by mere intim dation and warning from committing Zina, then the killing of such person is not allowed, otherwise the husband is authorized to kill him (مفحه مفحه منافعه),

According to Malikite, Hanbalite and Shafi J: rists, the person who cal I upon Muslims to accept innovation in their faith shall be punishment by way of Tazir while Hanafite consider such person as Murtadd, (المحدد) (apostate) and propose to award him punishment by way of Hadd. The person who commits blasphemy or utter derogatory remarks against the Holy Prophet peace be upon him, shall be killed by way of Tazir Likewise, Sorcerer or Zindiq (نالفقد الاسلامي وادلته حلد لا ، صفحه or the person who repeditedly commits theft shall be killed by way of Tazir (الفقد الاسلامي وادلته حلد لا ، صفحه المعاونة المعاونة

Most of the Jurists maintain that Imam is er powered to award death punishment by way of Tazir when public good require it or the life of the offender poses the danger of perpetration of evil aused by him which can only be eradicated by his execution Allama Ibnul Cayyim, has quoted the saying of the Holy Prophet that (من عادوه، فان عاد فاحلدوه، فان عاد فاحلدوه، فان عاد فاحلدوه، فان فقتلوه، فامر نقتله ادا اكثر منه (الثلاثه اوالرابعة فاقتلوه، فامر نقتله ادا اكثر منه times he shall be punished with whipping. If he repeatedly drinks wine then on the third or fourth time, he shall be awarded death penalty." (الحكمية صفحه اكا

In this respect, Abdul Aziz Amir, the author o: (التعرير في شريعة الاسلامية)
writes that. I say, death punishment by way of Taz r is permissible, because

to depend only on hudood and Qasis not only tantamount non adherence to law giver's object of punishment but also considered to be irrational and against sense. There are offences more helinous then the those for which death punishment has been prescribed by way of Hadd and Qisas There are also some habitual offenders, they not only cominit crimes but also try to commit more heinous crimes in horrifying manner. No punishment how severe and stringent it may be will deter him from commenting crimes. So to save the society from their evil effects, it becomes recessary to exterminate them. Some of the offences are of such nature which not only destroy the peace and security of the country but become detrimental for religion and faith also therefore, to eradicate the evil effect of such crimes and to protect the society, it becomes necessary to award death punishment by way of No specific " الارص كان- ---واحب السلطان احصاعة الى شوع الله سبحانه ' (Tazır conditions have been prescribed for the person spreading corruption in the land like freedom, number, sex, displaying arm or belonging to a specif nation or tribe. A singal individual, even a female, if acted in a manner which are detrimental to the interest of public good, or some within the purview the man in authority is empowered to bridle the cuprit, فسلماء الأرص of towards the right path

In the light of above, it is concluded that 302(B) is not repugnant to the injunctions of Islam